

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/924,641	08/08/2001	Henry Otto Hermann JR.	17231A	7463	
7:	590 12/31/2002				
Tyco Electronics Corporation Intellectual Property Law Dept. 307 Constitution Drive, MS R20/1B			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, TRUC T		
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1164			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833		
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>_\</i>	1,
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>~</i>
•		09/924,641	HERMANN ET AL.	
	'Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Truc T. T. Nguyen	2833	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
THI - Ex af - If - If - Fa	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Idensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 iter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statumly reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	reply be timely filed irry (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[October 2002 .		
2a)∑	7 11115 detien ie 1 1111 121	his action is non-final.		
3)[closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal m er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 (atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	6
•	sition of Claims	dication	,	
4)[Claim(s) 27 and 28 is/are pending in the app			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5)[
	☐ Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.			
, -	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	for election requirement		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and cation Papers	or election requirement.		
• •	☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
ارم ارد	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
/ (If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12)	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
-	ty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
,	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in		
	Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a leading to the second	ist of the certified copies r	ot received.	
14)[☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion)
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application ha	s been received.	
	ment(s)			
1) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/924,641

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bellamy et al (US 4,747,783) in view of Cowie et al (US 5,236,789).

Bellamy et al disclose a connector assembly comprising:

- a first electrical contact (11);
- a second or mating contact (19);

the first electrical contact having a conductive portion (11) and a resistive portion (13), the resistive portion exposed for direct engagement with the second contact.

Bellamy et al substantially disclose the claimed invention except the resistive portion is in direct contact with the conductive portion.

Cowie et al teach a contact (16) comprising two different materials (18, 20) in direct contact with each other to provide a good electrical conduction.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a resistive material in direct contact with a conductive material onto the contact of Bellamy et al, as taught by Cowie et al to provide a good electrical conduction.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,641

Art Unit: 2833

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/9/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- a) in response to applicant's argument on page 2, lines 14-26, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The phrase "Bellamy et al substantially disclose the claimed invention except the resistive portion is indirect contact with the conductive portion" means that the resistive portion is not in direct contact with the conductive portion. The terminology of "except" is the same meaning of "not". The examiner introduces the reference of Cowie for a teaching of two different resistive material being direct contact to each other. Cowie's teaching is then incorporate into Bellamy et al's contact. The phrase above is not a confusing statement.
- b) in response to applicant's argument on page 2, line 27 to page 4 line 7, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must some reason why one skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of primary and secondary references. *In re Nomiya*, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is that the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary sill in the art. *In re McLaughlin*, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. *In re Bozek*, 163 UPSQ 545 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/924,641

Art Unit: 2833

In this case, the examiner only use the reference of Cowie for a teaching of two different resistive materials being direct contact to each other.

Conclusion

This is a **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T. T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 703-308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

_ · Art Unit: 2833

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

T. Nguyen December 26, 2002

THO D.TA
PRIMARY EXAMINER